or (2) specific property constituting or derived from any proceeds the defendant obtained from his

crime or used in any way to facilitate the commission of his crime, 21 U.S.C. §853. Obviously these

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge

26

27

statutes are designed to prevent the defendant from retaining property that is the result of his criminal activities.

However, neither statute refers to, or directs this court to enter, a personal money judgment against the defendant in lieu of a judgment forfeiting specific property. The government has failed to cite to any statute providing that in the event the defendant has spent all the proceeds gained from his criminal activity, the court must enter a personal money judgment against that defendant.

In the past the government has claimed that this court is required to enter the proposed personal money judgment by the dictates of 21 U.S.C. §853(p). That statute provides that if this defendant, by act or omission, causes the fruits of his crime or the instrumentalities used in his crime, to be concealed, transferred to a third party, placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court, substantially diminished in value or commingled with other property, the court may order the forfeiture of substitute property belonging to the defendant up to the value of the concealed property.

Here, the government has failed to produce any evidence to satisfy the requirements of this section. Rather than make any showing to trigger this statute, the prosecution relies on the language of the plea agreement, but the plea agreement by its own terms is not binding on the court.

Finally, the government has claimed that Criminal Rule 32.2 supports entering a personal money judgment. However, that rule provides no independent basis for the judgment sought, but instead relies upon the applicable statutes. The advisory committee notes to subdivision (b)(1) of that rule provide, "A number of cases have approved use of money judgment forfeitures. The Committee takes no position on the correctness of those rulings."

Ordering a personal money judgment under the circumstances of this case, where the government has failed to prove that the defendant concealed or transferred property, is nothing more than additional punishment for a crime. Indeed, the Supreme Court has recognized that forfeiture is an aspect of the sentence, rather than an element of the underlying offense, *Libretti v. United States*, 516 U.S. 29, at 39, 116 S.Ct.356, at 363. Accordingly, forfeiture constitutes additional punishment. *Id.* 516 at 45, 116 at 365, Of course punishment is a matter for the district judge, who has wide discretion in setting the penalty for criminal violations. *See United States v. Gutierrez-*

Silva, 353 F.3d 819 (9th Cir. 2003). The district court must consider any recommendation as to sentence from the government but is not required to accept it. *United States v. Pelayo-Bautista*, 907 F.2d 99, at 102 (9th Cir. 1990). Further, the court may, but is not obligated to, accept the terms of the plea agreement as to punishment, particularly when that agreement is not accompanied by a stipulation of facts supporting forfeiture, or when the district judge for other reasons finds the agreement problematic. *Libretti* 516 at 45, 116 at 365. Furthermore, any forfeiture is subject to the Excessive Fines Clause of the Eighth Amendment. *Id*.

Furthermore, such a judgment is counter-productive in that instead of encouraging rehabilitation, it would discourage rehabilitation. A defendant will find that after release from custody, he still has a personal money judgment hanging over his head. The court is required to impose a sentence that is sufficient, but not greater than necessary to provide just punishment. See 18 U.S.C. §3553.

The personal money judgment proposed by the government is not a forfeiture of specific property but is rather additional punishment, which means it is subject to the court's discretion. The court declines to exercise its discretion to impose a personal judgment here.

DATED January 24, 2011.

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge Mus C. Mahan STATES DISTRICT JUDGE